IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

e Patent Application of:

Docket:

5770.CD2C.2

Mark Plaia, et al.

Art Unit:

3738

Serial No.:

09/938,882

Examiner:

Hieu Phan

Filed:

August 24, 2001

For: ANTI-STENOTIC METHOD AND PRODUCT FOR OCCLUDED AND

PARTIALLY OCCLUDED ARTERIES

RECEIVED

SEP 3 0 2003

**ELECTION** 

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the Restriction and Species requirements contained in the Office Action mailed September 8, 2003, the applicant elects the claims of Group II, i.e. claims 116-135, with traverse.

Since the requirement for a species election relates to claims now non-elected, no species election is required. Accordingly no species designation of claims is required.

The ground for traversal is simply that the examiner has completely failed to meet his or her burden of proof to make out a prime facie case that the claims of the two groups specified on page 2 of the Office Action are patentably distinct one from the other. Likewise, in respect the species requirement, which is moot, the examiner failed to make a showing that the two identified species are, in fact "patentably distinct."

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 23 September 2003.

Respectfully Submitted,

Attorney for Applicant

602 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84102 (801) 364-5633